

On time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in Lipschitz and Multiply-connected domains of \mathbb{R}^3 .

T. Z. Boulmezaoud ¹ and M. El Rhabi ²

¹ Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées. Université de Pau
email: Tahar.Boulmezaoud@univ-pau.fr

² Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique. Université Pierre et Marie Curie
e-mail : Mohammed.Elrhabi@math.jussieu.fr

Abstract

In this paper we deal with time-harmonic Maxwell's equations in Lipschitz and multiply connected bounded regions of \mathbb{R}^3 . We prove the wellposedness of the current source problem by means of an appropriate compact operator.

Keywords: time-harmonic Maxwell's equations, curl-curl systems, vector potentials, resonance, non-smooth domains.

AMS Classification:

1 Preliminaries.

The harmonic magnetic field \mathbf{H} in a cavity Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 is described by curl-curl system

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{curl}(\epsilon^{-1}\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{u}) - \omega^2\mu\mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{curl}(\epsilon^{-1}\mathbf{j}), \\ \operatorname{div}(\mu\mathbf{u}) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{j} is the imposed source of electric current density. The parameters ϵ and μ refer to the permittivity and the permeability of the medium. For a perfect conducting boundary $\partial\Omega$, the magnetic field satisfies the boundary condition

$$\mu\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.\tag{2}$$

Note that the electric field is given by $\mathbf{E} = (i\omega\epsilon)^{-1}(\mathbf{curl}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{j})$. When the domain is smooth, the analysis of the time harmonic Maxwell's equations has been carried through successfully by means of the Maxwell operator (see, e. g., [7], [3]). However, when the

domain is non-smooth, namely if Ω contains inward edges and corners, the treatment of time-harmonic Maxwell's equations involves some serious complications. This is due mainly to the appearance of singularities near these corners and edges (see [2]).

The purpose of this paper is to treat the current source problem (1)+(2) in a non-smooth and multiply connected domains of \mathbf{R}^3 . The approach we use for solving (1) is based on a formulation of this problem in terms of an adequate compact vector potential operator.

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbf{R}^3 and denote by $\partial\Omega$ its boundary. We assume that Ω is Lipschitz-continuous and that its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is the union of $p + 1$ connected components $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_p$ where Γ_0 is the boundary of the only unbounded connected component of \mathbf{R}^3/Ω . Note that $p = 0$ when $\partial\Omega$ is connected. We assume also that Ω is connected but not necessarily simply-connected. If Ω is multiply-connected, we suppose that there exists m smooth surfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_m$ ("cuts") such that

1. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, Σ_i is an open part of a smooth manifold \mathcal{M}_i .
2. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, the boundary of Σ_i is contained in $\partial\Omega$.
3. The intersection $\bar{\Sigma}_i \cap \bar{\Sigma}_j$ is empty if $i \neq j$.
4. The open set $\overset{\circ}{\Omega} = \Omega / \bigcup_{i=1}^m \Sigma_i$ is simply connected and pseudo-Lipschitz¹.

By convention, we set $m = 0$ when Ω is simply-connected. In the sequel we denote by $(., .)$ the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$. For any $i \leq m$, $H^{1/2}(\Sigma_i)$ is the space of restrictions to Σ_i of the distributions belonging to $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$ and $H^{1/2}(\Sigma_i)'$ is its dual space.

Now, consider the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{div}; \Omega) &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \mid \text{div } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega) \}, \\ H(\text{curl}; \Omega) &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \mid \mathbf{curl } \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \}, \end{aligned}$$

equipped with the usual norms $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\text{div}; \Omega)}$ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H(\text{curl}; \Omega)}$. We recall the following properties of these spaces

1. Let $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega)$. Then, \mathbf{v} has a normal component $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ in $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and the following Green's formula holds

$$\forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega), \quad (\mathbf{v}, \nabla \varphi) = -(\text{div } \mathbf{v}, \varphi) + \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, \varphi \rangle_{\partial\Omega}. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, \mathbf{v} has also a normal component $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ in $H^{1/2}(\Sigma_i)'$ and (see [1], Lemma 3.10):

$$\forall \theta \in H^1(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}), \quad \int_{\overset{\circ}{\Omega}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \theta d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\overset{\circ}{\Omega}} (\text{div } \mathbf{v}) \theta d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, [\theta]_i \rangle_{\Sigma_i}, \quad (4)$$

where $[\theta]_i$ denotes the jump of θ through Σ_i .

¹see [1] for the definition.

2. Similarly, if $\mathbf{v} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$, then \mathbf{v} has a tangential component $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}$ in $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)^3$ and the following Green's formula holds

$$\forall \mathbf{w} \in H^1(\Omega)^3, \quad (\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{w}) + \langle \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\partial\Omega}. \quad (5)$$

Observe that this formula remains valid if $\mathbf{w} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{v} \in H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega)$.

Consider also the following subspaces of $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$ and $H(\text{curl}; \Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\text{div}; \Omega) &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) \mid \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}, \\ H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega) &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in H(\text{curl}; \Omega) \mid \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \}. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce now the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} Y_T(\Omega) &= H_0(\text{div}; \Omega) \cap H(\text{curl}; \Omega), \\ Y_N(\Omega) &= H(\text{div}; \Omega) \cap H_0(\text{curl}; \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

equipped with the norm $\|\mathbf{v}\|_Y = (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\text{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2)^{1/2}$, and we set

$$\begin{aligned} G_T &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in Y_T(\Omega) \mid \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \}, \\ G_N &= \{ \mathbf{v} \in Y_N(\Omega) \mid \text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 ([4], [1]). *The spaces G_T and G_N are finite dimensional and $\dim G_T = m$, $\dim G_N = p$. Moreover, there exists a basis $(\mathbf{q}_i)_{i=1,\dots,m}$ (resp. $(\mathbf{f}_i)_{i=1,\dots,p}$) of G_T (resp. of G_N) such that:*

$$\forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \langle \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Sigma_j} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\} \quad \langle \mathbf{f}_i \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_j} = \delta_{i,j}. \quad (6)$$

We shall denote by \mathcal{P}_T (resp. \mathcal{P}_N) the orthogonal projection from $Y_T(\Omega)$ (resp. from $Y_N(\Omega)$) on G_T (resp. on G_N) with respect to inner product associated with the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. It is worth noting that

$$\mathcal{P}_N \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Sigma_i} \mathbf{q}_i$$

for any $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ such that $\text{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ (see [4], [1]).

Lemma 2 ([4], [1]). *The mapping*

$$\mathbf{v} \longrightarrow |\mathbf{v}|_{Y_T(\Omega)} = (\|\text{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Sigma_i}|^2)^{1/2},$$

is a norm on the space $Y_T(\Omega)$ equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$. Similarly, the mapping $\mathbf{v} \longrightarrow |\mathbf{v}|_{Y_N(\Omega)} = (\|\text{div} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \|\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p |\langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_i}|^2)^{1/2}$, is a norm on the space $Y_N(\Omega)$ equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$.

In the sequel, we set

$$\alpha_0 = \inf_{\mathbf{v} \in Y_T(\Omega), \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{|\mathbf{v}|_{Y_T(\Omega)}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{0,\Omega}}. \quad (7)$$

Then, according to Lemma 2, we have $\alpha_0 > 0$.

1.1 Statement of the problem. The main result.

Let us consider the system: given $\mathbf{j} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$, we look for $\mathbf{u} \in Y_T(\Omega)$

$$\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u} - k^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{j}, \quad (8)$$

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{n}, \quad (10)$$

where k is the wave number given by $k = \sqrt{\epsilon\mu}\omega$ with ϵ and μ supposed non-negative and constants. Observe that the boundary condition (10) is meaningful if $\mathbf{j} \in H(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$ (thus $\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u} \in H(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$). If \mathbf{j} belongs only to $L^2(\Omega)^3$, we interpret the problem (8)-(10) in a weaker form; a vector field \mathbf{u} in $Y_T(\Omega)$ is called a *generalized* or a *weak* solution of (8)-(10) if it satisfies

$$(\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}) + \gamma(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) + \delta(\mathcal{P}_T \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{P}_T \mathbf{v}) - k^2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in Y_T(\Omega), \quad (11)$$

where γ and δ are two nonnegative real constants. The following proposition state the relationship between the weak problem (11) and the continuous problem (8):

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ and suppose that $k > 0$ and that γ and δ are such that: $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and*

$$\frac{k^2}{\gamma} \notin EV(\Delta^{neu}), \quad \frac{k^2}{\delta} \neq 1, \quad (12)$$

where $EV(\Delta^{neu})$ is the set of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator with an homogenous Neumann condition. Then, any solution of (11) satisfies (8) and (9) in the sense of distributions. Moreover, if \mathbf{j} belongs to $H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$, then the problems (11) and (8)-(10) are equivalent.

When the wave number k is smaller than the parameter α_0 defined by (7), the existence and the uniqueness of solutions of (11) stem immediately from Lax-Milgram theorem. Here, we treat the problem (11) when k is not necessarily small. We state the following

Theorem 1. *Assume that $\mathbf{j} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ and that (12) is fulfilled. Then, there exists a countable sequence of real values $\{\alpha_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, tending to $+\infty$ such that*

1. *If $k \notin \{\alpha_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then (11) admits one and only one solution $\mathbf{u} \in Y_T(\Omega)$.*
2. *If $k = \alpha_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then the homogeneous problem (when $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}$) admits a finite dimensional space E_m of solutions, and (11) is solvable in $Y_T(\Omega)$ iff*

$$(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in E_m. \quad (13)$$

If this condition is fulfilled, the solution of (11) is unique up to elements of E_m .

We state also the following regularity results when the domain has a smooth boundary and when it is a parallelepiped (as involved by pseudo-spectral and spectral methods). Note that the general case of a polygonal domain contains some technical complications, due to the appearance of the singularities, and which are beyond the scope of this paper (see, e. g., [2]) (observe that the inclusion $Y_T(\Omega) \subset H^1(\Omega)^3$ does not hold in general).

Corollary 1. *Assume that Ω is of class $C^{m,1}$ with $m \geq 2$ and let $\mathbf{j} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ such that*

$$\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{j} \in H^{m-2}(\Omega)^3, \quad \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{n} \in H^{m-3/2}(\partial\Omega)^3.$$

Then, the solution \mathbf{u} of (11) belongs to $H^m(\Omega)^3$.

Corollary 2. *Assume that Ω is a rectangular parallelepiped of \mathbb{R}^3 . Suppose that $\mathbf{j} \in H(\mathbf{curl}; \Omega)$ and satisfies $\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial\Omega$. Then, the solution of the problem (11) belongs to $H^2(\Omega)^3$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorem 1 is composed of four steps. In step 1 we introduce and study a new operator. Step 2 deals with its adjoint operator. In the third step we rewrite the problem in a Fredholm form. The Fredholm's alternative is finally applied in step 4.

STEP 1. AN OPERATOR.

Consider the closed subspace of $H(\mathbf{div}; \Omega)$

$$X = \{\mathbf{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \mid \mathbf{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ and } \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p\}. \quad (14)$$

For any vector function \mathbf{w} in X consider the problem: *Find $\mathbf{z} \in Y_T(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\mathbf{curl} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{w}, \quad \mathbf{div} \mathbf{z} = 0, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \quad \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Sigma_i} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Lemma 3 ([1]). *The problem (15) has a unique solution $\mathbf{z} \in Y_T(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant C , depending only on Ω such that*

$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{Y_T(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega) \|\mathbf{w}\|_{0,\Omega}. \quad (16)$$

In the sequel, we shall denote by \mathcal{K} the linear and continuous operator from X into X defined by

$$\mathcal{K} : \mathbf{w} \in X \mapsto \mathbf{z} \in X \text{ solution of (15),}$$

Lemma 4. *\mathcal{K} is a compact operator.*

Proof of Lemma 4 – For proving the compactness of \mathcal{K} , the following lemma turns to be useful. The reader can consult [5] (Theorem 3.1) for the proof.

Lemma 5. *A function \mathbf{w} in $L^2(\Omega)^3$ belongs to X if and only if there exists a vector function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ in $H^1(\Omega)^3$ satisfying $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. Moreover, there exists a constant C depending only on Ω such that for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in X$, the corresponding vector function \mathbf{v} can be chosen such that*

$$\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)^3} \leq C \|\mathbf{w}\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

Now, let \mathbf{w}_n be a sequence in X such that $\|\mathbf{w}_n\|_{0,\Omega} \leq C_1$, where C_1 is a constant not depending on n . Then, by virtue of Lemma 5, there exists a sequence $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n$ in $H^1(\Omega)^3$ such that: $\forall n$, $\mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n = \mathbf{w}_n$, $\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n\|_{1,\Omega} \leq C$. Thus, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n$ which converges strongly in $L^2(\Omega)^3$.

Now, for any n , let s_n be the unique solution in $H^1(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}$ of the Neumann problem

$$\forall \Psi \in H^1(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}, \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla s_n \cdot \nabla \Psi d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n \cdot \nabla \Psi d\mathbf{x}$$

and set $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n^* = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n - \mathcal{P}_T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n$, where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_n - \nabla s_n$. The sequence $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n$ belongs to $Y_T(\Omega)$. Moreover, it is quite obvious that $(s_n)_n$ converges in $H^1(\Omega)^3/\mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n$ converges in $L^2(\Omega)^3$ to an element $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ of $Y_T(\Omega)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n$ converges also to $\mathcal{P}_T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ since

$$\|\mathcal{P}_T \tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n\|_{0,\Omega} \leq \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

We conclude by observing that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_n^* = \mathcal{K} \mathbf{w}_n$. \diamond

STEP 2. THE ADJOINT OPERATOR.

We need the following lemma

Lemma 6 ([1], [4]). *A field \mathbf{v} in $H(\text{div}; \Omega)$ satisfies*

$$\text{div } \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \langle \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Sigma_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

if and only if there exists a unique vector potential $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \in Y_N(\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\Phi} = \mathbf{v}, \quad \text{div } \boldsymbol{\Phi} = 0, \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p. \quad (17)$$

In particular, this lemma implies that any vector field \mathbf{w} in $L^2(\Omega)^3$ admits a unique decomposition into the form

$$\mathbf{w} = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} q + \mathbf{curl} \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \quad (18)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ belongs to $Y_N(\Omega)$ and verifies $\text{div } \boldsymbol{\Phi} = 0$, $\langle \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}, 1 \rangle_{\Gamma_i} = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq p$, while q belongs to the space $\Theta = \{s \in H^1(\overset{\circ}{\Omega}) \mid [s]_{\Sigma_i} = \text{constant}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, and is the unique solution in Θ/\mathbb{R} of the quasi-Neumann problem

$$\forall p \in \Theta, \quad \int_{\overset{\circ}{\Omega}} \nabla s \cdot \nabla p d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{w} \cdot \overset{\circ}{\nabla} p d\mathbf{x},$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}p$ denotes the extension in $L^2(\Omega)^3$ of the gradient ∇p considered in the sense of distributions in $\mathcal{D}'(\overset{\circ}{\Omega})$. Moreover, the decomposition (18) is unique in $(\Theta/\mathbb{R}) \times Y_N(\Omega)$. The operator \mathcal{K}^* is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{K}^* : \mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \mapsto \Phi \in X,$$

where Φ is the unique function in the decomposition (18). \mathcal{K}^* is a continuous operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into X . The following lemma gives the relationship between \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^* :

Lemma 7. *The restriction of \mathcal{K}^* to X is the adjoint operator of \mathcal{K} .*

STEP 3. A NEW FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let us now rewrite the problem (11) in terms of the operator \mathcal{K} .

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in L^2(\Omega)^3$ and let $\theta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be solution of the Dirichlet problem*

$$\Delta\theta = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{j} \in H^{-1}(\Omega), \quad \theta = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

We set $\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j} - \nabla\theta \in H(\operatorname{div}; \Omega)$, $\mathbf{j}^ = \mathbf{j}_1 - \mathcal{P}_N \mathbf{j}_1$. Then, \mathbf{u} is solution of (11) iff $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u} - \mathcal{K}\mathbf{j}^*$ belongs to X and is solution of the problem*

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} - k^2 \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^* \hat{\mathbf{u}} = k^2 \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^* \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*. \quad (19)$$

Proof of Proposition 2— Firstly, observe that if we set $\boldsymbol{\ell} = \mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}^* = \nabla\theta + \mathcal{P}_T \mathbf{j}_1$, then $\boldsymbol{\ell} \in H(\operatorname{curl}; \Omega)$ and $\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\ell} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\ell} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial\Omega$.

1. Let \mathbf{u} solution of (11). Then, it stems from Proposition 1 that \mathbf{u} satisfies (8) and (9) and $\mathcal{P}_T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$. We set $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u} - \mathcal{K}\mathbf{j}^*$. It follows immediately that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ belongs to $X \cap Y_T(\Omega)$ and

$$\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}} - k^2 \hat{\mathbf{u}} = k^2 \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*, \quad \mathcal{P}_T \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (20)$$

Thus, $\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}}$ belongs to $H(\operatorname{curl}; \Omega)$. Furthermore, (11) yields

$$(\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) - k^2(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) = k^2(\mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*, \mathbf{v}) + (\boldsymbol{\ell}, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in Y_T(\Omega).$$

Choosing $\mathbf{v} \in H^1(\Omega)^3$ gives $\langle \operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0}$ on $\partial\Omega$. It follows that $\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathcal{K}^*(k^2 \hat{\mathbf{u}} + k^2 \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*)$. Moreover, $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = k^2 \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*)$.

2. Conversely, let $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ be solution of (19). Then,

$$\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}} = k^2 \operatorname{curl} (\mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*)) = k^2 \mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{curl} \hat{\mathbf{u}}, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) &= k^2(\mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*), \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) = k^2(\operatorname{curl} (\mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*)), \mathbf{v}) \\ &= k^2(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*, \mathbf{v}) = k^2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{curl} (\mathcal{K}^*(\hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*)) = \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^*$. Hence, $\mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{K} \mathbf{j}^* \in Y_T(\Omega)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0$, $\mathcal{P}_T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, and $(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u}, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) - k^2(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{j}^*, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{j}, \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v})$. Thus, \mathbf{u} is solution of (11) which is the desired result. \diamond

STEP 4. FREDHOLM ALTERNATIVE.

Consider the operator $T = \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*$. Then, T is obviously self-adjoint and is compact by virtue of Lemma 4. Let $s_1^2 \geq s_2^2 \geq \dots \geq s_n^2 \geq \dots$ be the real countable sequence of its eigenvalues. The numbers $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots$ are indeed the s -values (or *singular values*) of the operator \mathcal{K} (namely, the eigenvalues of $(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$). These numbers are in general different from the eigenvalues of \mathcal{K} since it is not a normal operator. The reader can consult [6] for more details about that question.

Now, applying the Fredholm alternative to the inhomogeneous problem (19) yields

- If $\frac{1}{k} \notin \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$, then the (19) admits one and only one solution.
- If $\frac{1}{k} = s_m$ for some $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, then (19) is solvable iff the right hand side verifies

$$(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\mathbf{j}^*, \varphi) = 0, \quad (21)$$

for any φ satisfying $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*\varphi = s_k^2\varphi$. If this solvability condition is fulfilled, then (19) has a unique solution up to eigenfunctions of T corresponding to the eigenvalue s_m^2 . Let us rewrite this solvability condition (21) differently. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\mathbf{j}^*, \varphi) = (\mathbf{j}^*, \mathcal{K}^*\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*\varphi) = s_m^2(\mathbf{j}^*, \varphi) = s_m^2(\mathbf{j} - \ell, \mathcal{K}^*\varphi) \\ &= s_m^4(\mathbf{j} - \ell, \mathbf{curl} \varphi) = s_m^4(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{curl} \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

since $s_m^2\mathbf{curl} \varphi = \mathbf{curl}(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}^*\varphi) = \mathcal{K}^*\varphi$ and $\mathbf{curl} \ell = \mathbf{0}$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1. \diamond

References

- [1] C. AMROUCHE, C. BERNARDI, M DAUGE AND V. GIRAULT, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 21 (1998), p. 823–864.
- [2] M. COSTABEL AND M. DAUGE, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 151 (2000), p. 221 – 276.
- [3] R. DAUTRAY AND J.-L. LIONS, *Analyse mathématique et calcul numérique*, Tome 5, Masson, Paris (1988).
- [4] J.-M. DOMINGUEZ, Thèse de Doctorat, Univ. Pierre et Marie Curie (1982), Paris.
- [5] V. GIRAULT AND P.-A. RAVIART, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes equations*, Springer-Verlag (1986).
- [6] I. C. GOHBERG, M.G. KREIN, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, AMS (1969).
- [7] R. Leis, *Initial Boundary Value Problems in Mathematical Physics*, Wiley (1986).