

On a critical Hamiltonian system on \mathbb{R}^N .

Nasreddine Megrez

CEREMATH-MIP/UT1 University of Toulouse1
21, allée de Brienne, Bat C, 31000 Toulouse, France.
megrez@math1.univ-tlse1.fr

Abstract

In this paper, we elucidate how abstract concentration compactness established in [7], can be used in solving variational systems, by giving an application to a problem treated in [6] by concentration compactness of P. L. Lions.

Keywords: Critical Hamiltonian System, Linking, Abstract Concentration Compactness.

AMS Classification: 35J50, 35J55

1 Introduction and main result

Via an abstract concentration compactness approach, we prove the existence of a weak solution of the problem:

$$(P) : \begin{cases} -\Delta u = q|v|^{q-2}v \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ -\Delta v = p|u|^{p-2}u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 3$, and p, q are two real numbers satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{N-2}{N} = \frac{2}{2^*}$.

P.L.Lions has proved in [6], that the corresponding scalar equation $-\Delta((-\Delta u)^{1/q}) = u^p$, has radial ground states for all values of p and q , on the critical hyperbola.

In [3], authors have proved uniqueness of solution and its asymptotic behavior.

In this paper, we prove again, the existence result, by combining linking and abstract concentration compactness method.

Note that if $p = q$, then $p = q = 2^*$, $v = u$, and

$$(p) \iff \begin{cases} -\Delta u = 2^*|u|^{2^*-1} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

A weak solution of the problem (P), is a critical point of the functional J , defined by:

$$J(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^p + |v|^q] dx$$

We note by \mathcal{H} , the Banach space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Theorem 1.1 (P) has a weak solution in \mathcal{H} , for all positive real numbers p and q , satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{N-2}{N} = \frac{2}{2^*}$.

2 Minimax theorem and Palais-Smale sequence

In this section, we establish the linking geometry of J , to give a Palais-Smale sequence by the minimax principle used in [9] and [1].

Definition 2.1 Let S be a closed subset of a Banach space X , and Q a submanifold of X with relative boundary ∂Q .

We say that S and ∂Q link if:

1. $S \cap \partial Q = \emptyset$.
2. $\forall h \in C^0(X, X)$ such that $h|_{\partial Q} = id$, there holds $h(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 functional. Consider a closed subset $S \subset X$, and a submanifold $Q \subset X$ with relative boundary ∂Q . Suppose:

1. S and ∂Q link.
2. $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$J(z) \geq \delta \quad \forall z \in S,$$

$$J(z) \leq 0 \quad \forall z \in \partial Q.$$

Let

$$\Gamma := \{h \in C^0(X, X) / h|_{\partial Q} = id\},$$

and

$$c := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \sup_{z \in Q} J(h(z)) \geq \delta.$$

Then there exists a sequence $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$, such that

$$J(z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} c,$$

$$J'(z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

We choose numbers $\mu > 1$, $\nu > 1$, such that $\frac{1}{p} < \frac{\mu}{\mu + \nu}$, and $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{\nu}{\mu + \nu}$.

The following propositions give the linking geometry of J . Its proofs are similar to those in [1], and will be omitted.

Proposition 2.3 *There exist $\rho > 0$, $\delta > 0$, such that if we define*

$$S := \{(\rho^{\mu-1}u, \rho^{\nu-1}u) / \|(u, u)\| = \rho, u \in D(\nabla)\}$$

then $J(z) \geq \delta \forall z \in S$.

Proposition 2.4 *There exist $\sigma > 0$, $M > 0$, such that if we define*

$$Q = \{\tau(\sigma^{\mu-1}u, \sigma^{\nu-1}u) + (\sigma^{\mu-1}v, -\sigma^{\nu-1}v) / 0 \leq \tau \leq \sigma, 0 \leq \|(v, -v)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M, \text{ and } u, v \in D(\nabla)\},$$

then $J(z) \leq 0 \forall z \in \partial Q$, where ∂Q is the boundary of Q relative to the subspace

$$\{\tau(\sigma^{\mu-1}u, \sigma^{\nu-1}u) + (\sigma^{\mu-1}v, -\sigma^{\nu-1}v) / \tau \in \mathbb{R}, v \in D(\nabla)\}$$

3 Abstract concentration compactness

In this section, we recall the abstract concentration compactness due to I.SCHINDLER, and K.TINTAREV [7], and we give a version adapted to our problem.

Let \mathcal{E} be a separable reflexive Banach space, and let G be an infinite multiplicative group of bounded linear operator on \mathcal{E} .

Let $u, u_k \in \mathcal{E}$. We say that u_k converges to u weakly with concentration, and we note $u_k \xrightarrow{cw} u$, if $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{E}^*$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in G} (g(u_k - u), \phi) = 0.$$

If G is a compact group, concentrated weak convergence is equivalent to weak convergence.

Definition 3.1 *Let $\{\phi_k\}$ be a normalized basis for \mathcal{E}^* . Then we define the norm*

$$\|u\|_G := \sup_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(gu, \phi_k)|^2}{2^k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We suppose that G satisfies:

P1) $\sup_{g \in G} \|g\| < \infty$, where $\|g\| := \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|gu\|$.

P2) If $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G$, and for all $u \in \mathcal{E}$ $g_k u \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} g_0 u$, then $g_0 \in G$.

P3) If $g_k u \rightharpoonup w \neq 0$ for a $u \in \mathcal{E}$, then g_k has a strongly convergent subsequence.

P4) Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be a bounded sequence, and let $\{w^{(n)}\} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and $\{g_k^{(n)}\} \subset G$, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that the sequence

$$(g_k^{(n)} g_k^{(m)-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ dissipates for } m \neq n$$

and

$$g_k^{(n)} u_k \rightharpoonup w^{(n)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then $\|w^{(n)}\|_G \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exist $(w^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$, $(g_k^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for a renamed subsequence,*

$$\begin{aligned} g_k^{(n)-1} g_k^{(m)} &\rightharpoonup 0 \text{ for } n \neq m, \\ u_k - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_k^{(n)} w^{(n)} &\xrightarrow{cw} 0. \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Concretisation of the abstract concentration compactness on $\mathcal{H} := H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

Let G be the infinite multiplicative group of bounded linear operators defined on \mathcal{H} by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{t,\alpha}(u, v) &= \left(t^{-\frac{N}{p}} u \left(\frac{\cdot + \alpha}{t} \right), t^{-\frac{N}{q}} v \left(\frac{\cdot + \alpha}{t} \right) \right) \\ &= (g_{t,\alpha}^1 u, g_{t,\alpha}^2 v) \end{aligned}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

G satisfies the properties **P1)**-**P4)**. See [7] for a proof.

We have the invariance $J(g_{t,\alpha}(u, v)) = J(u, v)$.

The following theorem is a corollary of the Theorem 1.7 of [7].

Theorem 3.3 *Let $(z_k = (u_k, v_k))_k$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{H} . Then there exist $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \dots \in \mathcal{H}$, and $(\alpha_k^{(1)}, t_k^{(1)}), (\alpha_k^{(2)}, t_k^{(2)}), \dots \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$, such that for $r \neq m$, either $t_k^{(r)}/t_k^{(m)} \rightarrow \infty$, or $t_k^{(r)}/t_k^{(m)} \rightarrow 0$, or $|\alpha_k^{(r)} - \alpha_k^{(m)}| \rightarrow \infty$; and $\forall r : t_k^{(r)} \rightarrow \infty$, or $t_k^{(r)} \rightarrow 0$; where*

$$w^{(n)} = w - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_{\frac{1}{t_k^{(n)}}, -\alpha_k^{(n)}} z_k$$

The series $\sum_n g_{t_k^{(n)}, \alpha_k^{(n)}} w^{(n)}$ converges absolutely in \mathcal{H} , and on a renamed subsequence:

$$z_k - \sum_n g_{t_k^{(n)}, \alpha_k^{(n)}} w^{(n)} \xrightarrow{cw} 0.$$

Lemma 3.4 Let $(z_k)_k$ be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{H} such that $z_k \xrightarrow{cw} 0$.

Then modulo a subsequence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|z_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0$, for all positive real numbers p and q , satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{N-2}{N} = \frac{2}{2^*}$

Proof of Lemma 3.4: Let $z_k := (u_k, v_k) \in \mathcal{H}$.

$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{2^*} \implies (\frac{N}{N-2} < p \leq 2^*$ and $q \geq 2^*)$ or $(p \geq 2^*$ and $\frac{N}{N-2} < q \leq 2^*)$.

Suppose that $\frac{N}{N-2} < p < 2^*$ and $q \geq 2^*$.

Note that $z_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{cw} 0 \implies \forall g \in G : gz_k \rightarrow 0$.

Let $g = g_{t_k, 0}$, where $t_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} +\infty$ is chosen such that

$$\int_{|v_k| > t_k^{\frac{N}{q}}} |v_k|^q \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0;$$

and let $w_k = g_{t_k, 0}^2 v_k = t_k^{-\frac{N}{q}} v_k(\frac{\cdot}{t_k})$, i.e $v_k(x) = t_k^{\frac{N}{q}} w_k(t_k x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|v_k| < t_k^{\frac{N}{q}}} |v_k|^q dx &= \int_{|w_k| < 1} |w_k|^q dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_k(x)|^2 dx \\ &= t_k^{-\frac{N(2+q)}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_k(x)|^2 dx \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|v_k\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$.

Let now $\{B(y, 1), y \in Z \subset \mathbb{R}^N\}$ be a cover of \mathbb{R}^N , and $g = g_{1, -y}$.

By Rellich-Kondrachov inequality, we obtain:

$$\|u_k\|_{L^p(B(y,1))}^p \leq C \|u_k\|_{H_0^1(B(y,1))}^2 \|u_k\|_{L^p(B(y,1))}^{p-2} \quad (3.1)$$

By summing inequalities (3.1) over $y \in Z$, we obtain:

$$\|u_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq C \|u_k\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \sup_{y \in Z} \|g_{1, -y}^1 u_k\|_{L^p(B(0,1))}^{p-2}.$$

By the compactness of the imbedding of $H_0^1(B(0,1))$ into $L^p(B(0,1))$, it follows that modulo a subsequence, $g_{1, -y}^1 u_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ in $L^p(B(0,1))$.

Hence, $\|u_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$.

□

4 Proof of the main result

Lemma 4.1 *Let $(z_k = (u_k, v_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{H} such that*

$$J(z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} c \text{ and } J'(z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Then $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.1 in [1].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let (z_k) be a sequence satisfying (4.1).

According to Theorem 3.3, there exist $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \dots \in \mathcal{H}$, and $(\alpha_k^{(1)}, t_k^{(1)})$, $(\alpha_k^{(2)}, t_k^{(2)}), \dots \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$, such that

$$z_k - \sum_n g_k^{(n)} w^{(n)} \xrightarrow{cw} 0,$$

where $g_k^{(n)} = g_{t_k^{(n)}, \alpha_k^{(n)}}$.

(z_k) does not converge weakly with concentration to 0. In fact, if we suppose that $z_k \xrightarrow{cw} 0$ we will have by Lemma 3.4 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_k\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0$ (modulo a subsequence), which shows that $J(z_k) \rightarrow 0$. Contradiction. Then there exists a $w^{(n_0)} \neq 0$.

On the other hand, for some $g_k \in G$, we have

$$g_k z_k \rightarrow w^{(n_0)}.$$

Then

$$J'(g_k z_k) \rightarrow J'(w^{(n_0)})$$

However, $J'(z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \implies J'(g_k z_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$. Then, $J'(w^{(n_0)}) = 0$.

□

References

- [1] D.G. DE FIGUEIREDO and P.L.FELMER. *On superquadratic elliptic systems*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 343(1), (1994): 99–116.
- [2] P.L.FELMER. *Periodic solutions of superquadratic Hamiltonian systems*. J.Differential Equations **102** (1993): 188-207.
- [3] J.HULSHOF, R.C.A.M VAN DER VORST. *Asymptotic behaviour of ground states*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (8), (1996): 2423-2431.
- [4] P. L. LIONS. *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. part 1*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, **1**(2), (1984): 109-145.

- [5] P. L. LIONS. The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. part 2. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, **1(3)**, (1984): 223-283.
- [6] P.L. LIONS. *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, part 1*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam, **1 (1)**,(1985) :145-201.
- [7] I.SCHINDLER, K.TINTAREV. *Abstract concentration compactness and elliptic equations on unbounded domains*. Prog. Nonlin. Diff. Equ. App. **43**, (1998): 369-380.
- [8] M.SCHECHTER. *Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory*. Birkhauser, 1999.
- [9] P.H. RABINOWITZ. *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, volume Math 65 of CBMS Regional Conference Series. Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.
- [10] M.WILLEM. *Minimax Theorem*. Birkhauser, 1996.

