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#### Abstract

The stability of an equilibrium point of a 2-D Hamiltonian system, in the presence of resonances, is decided by means of a geometrical criterium, when the corresponding quadratic part is not sign defined. It is proven that this method is the geometrical counterpart of a theorem of Cabral and Meyer which constitutes an extension of the Arnold's theorem.
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## 1 Introduction

Let us consider the problem of the stability of an equilibrium for a Hamiltonian system with two degrees of freedom. Let us assume that the corresponding linearized system is stable and that the eigenvalues associated to the linear part are of the form $\pm \omega_{1} i$, $\pm \omega_{2} i$ with $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ positive real numbers, we suppose to be distinct. After a suitable linear transformation the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=H_{2}+F\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1}\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \omega_{2}\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

There are two different cases to be considered. In the first one, $H_{2}$ is definite (the plus sign) and the stability follows from the theorem of Dirichlet [9]. In the second one, $H_{2}$ is indefinite (the minus sign) and, now, it is not possible to determine the stability from the linear part and higher orders must be considered.

Let us suppose that $m \omega_{1}-n \omega_{2} \neq 0$ for $m$ and $n$ integers satisfying $m+n \leq 2 l$ and write the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ in Poincaré action-angle variables $\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ defined by

$$
q_{j}=\sqrt{2 \Psi_{j}} \cos \psi_{j}, \quad p_{j}=\sqrt{2 \Psi_{j}} \sin \psi_{j}, \quad j=1,2
$$

Assuming that $\mathcal{H}$ is in Birkhoff normal form up to order $2 l$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=H_{2}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)+H_{4}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)+\cdots H_{2 l}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{2 k}, 1 \leq k \leq l$, is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $k$ in $\Psi_{i}$ with real coefficients. In particular

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}=\omega_{1} \Psi_{1}-\omega_{2} \Psi_{2}, \quad 0<\omega_{1}, \quad 0<\omega_{2} \\
& H_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(A \Psi_{1}^{2}-2 B \Psi_{1} \Psi_{2}+C \Psi_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D_{2 k}=H_{2 k}\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}\right)$, Arnold's theorem [1] ensures the stability of the origin if for some $k=1,2, \ldots, l D_{2 k} \neq 0$.

The very first application of the theorem is due to Leontovich [6] and Deprit \& DepritBartolomé [3] to study the stability of the Lagrange's equilateral solutions of the restricted three-body problem for all the mass ratios except for the resonant cases $1: 2$ and 1:3. They are precisely the cases where the Arnold's theorem fails.

For the resonant cases, several results were established by Sokolski [10, 11] and Markeev [7] for resonances of order less than five. Recently, Cabral \& Meyer [2] gave an extension of the Arnold's theorem that provides an stability criterium for both resonant and non resonant cases:

Theorem 1 Let us consider the Hamiltonian (1) in normal form up to order s and $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ satisfying a resonance condition of order $r\left(n \omega_{1}=m \omega_{2}\right.$ and $\left.m+n=r\right)$ such that $r<s$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=H_{2}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)+H_{4}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)+\cdots+H_{2 l}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)+H_{s}\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}, n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=2 l+1$ or $s=2 l+2, H_{s}$ beeing a homogeneous polynomial of degree $s$ in $\sqrt{\Psi_{1}}$ and $\sqrt{\Psi_{2}}$ with coefficients which are finite Fourier series in the single angle $n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}$. Let us define

$$
\left.\Psi_{( } \psi\right)=H_{s}\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}, \psi\right),
$$

where $\psi=n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}$ and $D_{2 k}=H_{2 k}\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}\right)$. If for some $k=2, \ldots, l$ we have that $D_{2 k} \neq 0$, then Arnold's theorem guarantees the stability of the origin. Therefore, suppose that $D_{2 k}=0$ for $k=2, \ldots, l$. Then, if $\Psi(\psi) \neq 0$ for all $\psi$, the origin is stable and if $\Psi(\psi)$ has a simple zero, then the origin is unstable.

This result has a geometrical counterpart suggested by Elipe et al. [5]. The idea is very simple and it consists to look at the orbits around the origin in the phase space after the normalization procedure. Then, closed orbits around the equilibrium imply stability while asymptotic orbits imply instability. The key lies on a suitable representation of the normal form of the Hamiltonian in terms of an appropriate set of variables that underlie the topological structure of the phase space.

## 2 Normal forms

Definition 1 Let be

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{2}+\mathcal{H}_{3}+\ldots
$$

the Hamiltonian function where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}_{2}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{2}\left(q_{1}^{2}+p_{1}^{2}\right)-\frac{\omega_{2}}{2}\left(q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{H}_{s}=\sum_{i+j+k+l=s} a_{i j k l} q_{1}^{i} q_{2}^{j} p_{1}^{k} p_{2}^{l}, \quad s>2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is in the Birkhoff normal form up to order $N$ if $D \mathcal{H}_{s}=0$ for $s \leq N$, where

$$
D=\omega_{1}\left(q_{1} \frac{\partial-}{\partial p_{1}}-p_{1} \frac{\partial-}{\partial q_{1}}\right)-\omega_{2}\left(q_{2} \frac{\partial-}{\partial p_{2}}-p_{2} \frac{\partial-}{\partial q_{2}}\right) .
$$

That is, $\mathcal{H}$ is in normal form up to order $N$ if, for each $s \leq N$, we get $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{2} ; \mathcal{H}_{s}\right\}=0$, where $\{-;-\}$ stands for the Poisson bracket.

The topology of the phase space after normalization depends on the structure of those monomials that belong to the normal form. In order to characterize them, and following Elipe [4], it is preferred to introduce canonical complex variables defined as

$$
q_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(u_{k}+i v_{k}\right), \quad p_{k}=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(u_{k}-i v_{k}\right), \quad k=1,2 .
$$

Now, $\mathcal{H}_{s}$ is an $s$ degree homogeneous polynomial in the variables $u_{k}, v_{k}$ and the operator $D$ is given by

$$
D=i \omega_{1}\left(u_{1} \frac{\partial-}{\partial u_{1}}-v_{1} \frac{\partial-}{\partial v_{1}}\right)-i \omega_{2}\left(u_{2} \frac{\partial-}{\partial u_{2}}-v_{2} \frac{\partial-}{\partial v_{2}}\right) .
$$

Thus, a monomial $u_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} u_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} v_{1}^{\beta_{1}} v_{2}^{\beta_{2}}$ is in normal form if it satisfies

$$
\left(\omega_{1},-\omega_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

The inner product above vanishes in the trivial case $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=\beta_{2}$. So, a monomial that is a product of powers of $u_{1} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ is in normal form. Note that $u_{1} v_{1}$ and $u_{2} v_{2}$ corresponds to $i \Psi_{1}$ and $i \Psi_{2}$, where $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{2}$ are the momenta in Poincaré variables.

If, in addition, $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ satisfy the resonant condition of order $r$, $n \omega_{1}=m \omega_{2}$, $(\operatorname{mcd}(m, n)=1$ and $m+n=r)$, the monomials which are powers of $u_{1}^{n} u_{2}^{m}$ and $v_{1}^{n} v_{2}^{m}$ are also in the normal form. In this case, the normal form is not solely a function of the momenta in Poincaré variables, but also of the angles, although in the combination $n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}$. Indeed, depending on the order of the resonance we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}^{n} u_{2}^{m}=\Psi_{1}^{n / 2} \Psi_{2}^{m / 2}\left[\cos \left(n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}\right)-i \sin \left(n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}\right)\right] \\
& v_{1}^{n} v_{2}^{m}=(-i)^{n+m} \Psi_{1}^{n / 2} \Psi_{2}^{m / 2}\left[\cos \left(n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}\right)+i \sin \left(n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, angles appear at orders $s=k(m+n)+2 j,(k \geq 1, j \geq 0)$.
Taking into account the previous considerations, we can define, for a resonance of order $r$, the following four variables (invariants)

$$
I_{1}=u_{1} v_{1}, \quad I_{2}=u_{2} v_{2}, \quad I_{3}=u_{1}^{n} u_{2}^{m}, \quad I_{4}=v_{1}^{n} v_{2}^{m}
$$

so that each term of the normal form can be expressed as a function of these four variables. In particular,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}=\sum_{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)+l\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)=s} a_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}} I_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} I_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} I_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} I_{4}^{\alpha_{4}} .
$$

Note that the four invariants are not independent, but satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}^{n} I_{2}^{m}=I_{3} I_{4} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, $i \omega_{1} I_{1}-i \omega_{2} I_{2}$ is an integral provided that the Hamiltonian is in Birkhoff normal form.

## 3 Extended Lissajous variables

The discussion in the previous section suggests that the reduced phase space is generated by four variables not all independent, but satisfying the functional equation (4). Taking into account the formal integral $H_{2}$, the reduced phase space is regarded as a two dimensional surface for each $H_{2}=$ cte. For this reason, it is convenient to introduce a new set of variables with a twofold objective. On the one hand, to introduce new real invariants and on the other hand, to serve as a parameterization of the reduced phase space. These are the so called extended Lissajous variables [4], specially useful to handle oscillators in resonance.

Under the assumption $n \omega_{1}=m \omega_{2}$ we consider the transformation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}}{m}} \sin m\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}\right), & P_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}}{m}} \cos m\left(\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}\right), \\
q_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}}{n}} \sin n\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right), & P_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}}{n}} \cos n\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

In these coordinates, $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ expresses as $\omega \Phi_{2}\left(\omega_{1}=m \omega, \omega_{2}=n \omega\right)$ and they are related to the Poincaré variables through the formulae

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 m \Psi_{1}=\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}, & n \psi_{1}+m \psi_{2}=2 m n \phi_{1}, \\
2 n \Psi_{2}=\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}, & n \psi_{1}-m \psi_{2}=2 m n \phi_{2} .
\end{array}
$$

It is worth to note that the Birkhoff normal form is a function of the momenta $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}$ and the angle $\phi_{1}$, as it follows from the expressions for the four invariants $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ and
$I_{4}$. Provided that $I_{k}$ are complex, another set of invariants, a combination of the previous one, is introduced. They are, in terms of the Lissajous variables (see [4] for details)

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{1}, \\
& M_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{2}, \\
& S_{1}=2^{-(m+n) / 2}\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right)^{m / 2}\left(\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}\right)^{n / 2} \sin 2 m n \phi_{1},  \tag{5}\\
& C_{1}=2^{-(m+n) / 2}\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{2}\right)^{m / 2}\left(\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}\right)^{n / 2} \cos 2 m n \phi_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, each term of the normal form is expressed as

$$
\mathcal{H}_{s}=\sum_{2\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)+l\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)=s} a_{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}} M_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} M_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} C_{1}^{\alpha_{3}} S_{1}^{\alpha_{4}}
$$

proving that the normal form is a function of these four invariants. Besides, they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{2}+S_{1}^{2}=\left(M_{1}+M_{2}\right)^{n}\left(M_{1}-M_{2}\right)^{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the constraint $M_{1} \geq\left|M_{2}\right|$, being $M_{2}$ a constant.
Note that equation (6) defines the reduced phase space as a revolution surface for each constant value of $M_{2}$. In particular, the origin is the vertex of the surface corresponding to $M_{2}=0$. Also note that the extended Lissajous variables serve to parameterize the surface (6) and that $\phi_{1}$ is equivalent to the angle $\psi$ in the theorem of Cabral \& Meyer.

## 4 The geometrical criterium

Let us consider the orbits in the variety where the origin lies $\left(M_{2}=0\right)$, we claim that

- if the orbits around the origin are closed then the origin is stable.
- if there are asymptotic orbits to the origin then the origin is unstable.

Since the orbits are the level contour curves of the Hamiltonian function on the surface (6), it follows that

Theorem 2 Let be $\mathcal{H}\left(C_{1}, S_{1}, M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$ in the normal form expressed in terms of the invariants and let be $\mathcal{H}_{s}$ the first term of the normal form that not vanishes for $M_{2}=0$. Let be $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ the surface defined by $H_{s}\left(C_{1}, S_{1}, M_{1}, 0\right)=0$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ the surface $C_{1}^{2}+S_{1}^{2}=M_{1}^{m+n}$, then:

- If $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ intersect transversaly, the origin is unstable.
- If $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ intersect only at the origin, the origin is stable.


## Proof:

It is enough to demonstrate that the theorem is equivalent to that of Cabral and Meyer. Indeed, let us suppose that the normal form for the Hamiltonian is that of equation (3). Express the normal form in terms of the invariants and take $M_{2}=0$. It is obvious that $D_{2 k}=0$ for $k=2, \ldots, l$ is equivalent to $H_{2 k}\left(M_{2}=0\right)=0$. On the other hand, provided $H_{s}$ is a homogeneous polynomial, we have for $M_{2}=0$

$$
H_{s}=\alpha M_{1}^{s / 2} \Psi\left(2 m n \phi_{1}\right)=\alpha M_{1}^{s / 2} \Psi(\psi),
$$

where $\Psi(\psi)$ is the function defined in the theorem of Cabral and Meyer. So, if we consider the intersection of the surface defined by $H_{s}=0$, that is $\mathcal{G}_{1}$, and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ we observe that:

- If $\Psi(\psi)$ has a simple zero $\psi^{*}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ intersects the plane $\psi=\psi^{*}$ and two asymptotic orbits to the origin appear, so that the origin is unstable.
- If $\Psi(\psi) \neq 0$ for all $\psi$ the only point in common of the surfaces $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is the vertex and the rest of the orbits, that is, the intersection of the surfaces defined by $H_{s}=h$ with $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ are all closed and the origin is stable.
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