Monografías del Seminario Matemático García de Galdeano 33, 59-66 (2006)

# MINIMAL ENERGY $C^r$ -SURFACES ON UNIFORM POWELL-SABIN TYPE MESHES

# D. Barrera, M. A. Fortes, P. González and M. Pasadas

**Abstract.** In this paper we present a method to obtain a  $C^r$ -surface approximating a Lagragian data set in a polygonal domain and minimizing a certain "energy functional". We give a convergence result and a numerical and graphical example involving  $C^2$ -surfaces.

*Keywords:*  $\alpha$ -triangulation, Powell-Sabin element, variational spline, minimal energy, approximation, smoothing.

AMS classification: 41A15, 65D07, 65D10, 65D17.

# **§1. Introduction**

In the context of the fitting and design of curves and surfaces, variational methods based on the minimization of a given functional have received considerable attention due to their efficiency and usefulness. Such functionals typically contain two terms: the first indicates how well the curve or surface approximates a given data set, while the second controls the degree of smoothness or fairness of the curve or surface. For example, discrete smoothing  $D^m$ -splines [1, 2] and discrete smoothing variational splines [6] provide specific examples of variational curves and surfaces. In [7] a functional of the above type is minimized in a parametric space of bicubic splines. Moreover, in all cases the obtained splines approximate a Lagrangian or Hermite data set. Other papers related to this matter are [3], [5] and references therein.

In this work we present a method to obtain a  $C^r$ -quadratic spline surface  $(r \ge 1)$  on a polygonal domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  which approximates a Lagrangian data set and minimizes an "energy functional" given by a linear combination of the usual semi-norms  $|\cdot|_m, m = 1, \ldots, r+1$ , on the Sobolev space  $H^{r+1}(D)$ . The minimization space is a spline space constructed from a  $\Delta^1$ -type triangulation  $\mathscr{T}$  over D and its Powell-Sabin associated subtriangulation  $\mathscr{T}_6$  (cf. [8]).

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall some preliminary notations and results. Section 3 is devoted to formulate the problem and to present a method to solve it, while in Section 4 a convergence result is proved. In Section 5 we briefly describe the method to obtain the basis functions with local support over the unit reference triangle, and we give a numerical and graphical example for Franke's test function.

# §2. Notations and preliminaries

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a polygonal domain and let us consider the Sobolev space  $H^{r+1}(D)$ , whose elements are (classes of) functions *u* defined in *D* such that *u* and their partial derivatives (in

the distribution sense)  $\partial^{\beta} u$  belong to  $L^2(D)$ , with  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  and  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \leq r+1$ . In this space we consider the usual norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\sum_{|\beta| \le r+1} \int_D \partial^\beta u(x)^2 dx\right)^{1/2},$$

the semi-norms

$$|u|_m = \left(\sum_{|\beta|=m} \int_D \partial^\beta u(x)^2 \, dx\right)^{1/2}, \quad m = 1, \dots, r+1,$$

and the corresponding inner semi-products

$$(u,v)_m = \sum_{|\beta|=m} \int_D \partial^\beta u(x) \partial^\beta v(x) dx; \quad m = 1, \dots, r+1.$$

We will consider a uniform  $\Delta^1$ -type triangulation  $\mathscr{T}$  of D, and the associated Powell-Sabin triangulation  $\mathscr{T}_6$  of  $\mathscr{T}$ , which is obtained by joining the centre  $\Omega_T$  of the inscribed circle of each interior triangle  $T \in \mathscr{T}$  to the vertices of T and to the centres  $\Omega_{T'}$  of the inscribed circles of the neighbouring triangles  $T' \in \mathscr{T}$ . When T has a side that is on the boundary of D, the point  $\Omega_T$  is joined to the mid-point of this side, to the vertices of T and to the centres  $\Omega_{T'}$  of the inscribed circles of the neighbouring triangles  $T' \in \mathscr{T}$ .

We consider the set

$$S_n^{r,r_1,r_1'}(D,\mathscr{T}_6) = \{S \in C^r(D) : S|_T \in S_n^{r_1,r_1'}(T) \; \forall T \in \mathscr{T}\},\$$

where

$$S_n^{r_1,r_1'}(T) = \{ S \in C^{r_1}(T) : S|_{T'} \in \mathbb{P}_n(T'), \ \forall T' \in \mathscr{T}_6, \ T' \subset T,$$
  
and S is of class  $C'_1$  at the vertices of T }

and  $\mathbb{P}_n(T')$  indicates the space of bivariate polynomials of total degree at most *n* over *T'*.

Let n = 2r + 1 for r even and n = 2r for r odd. Let [x] denote the integer part of x. In [8] it is shown that given the values of a function f (defined on D) and all its partial derivatives of order at most  $r + [\frac{r}{2}]$  at all the vertices of  $\mathscr{T}$ , there exists a unique function  $S \in V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6) =$  $S_n^{r,[(n-1)/2]+1,r+[r/2]}(D, \mathscr{T}_6)$  such that the values of S and all its partial derivatives of order at most r + [r/2] coincide with those of f.

#### §3. Formulation of the problem

Let  $g \in H^{r+1}(D)$ . Given, for any  $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , a finite set of points  $D^s$  in D and a set of values  $Z^s = \{g(a)\}_{a \in D^s}$ , we are looking for a  $C^r$ -surface  $(r \ge 1)$  that approximates the points  $\{(a,g(a))\}_{a \in D^s} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and minimizes the functional energy that is described below.

Let  $k = k(s) = \operatorname{card}(D^s)$  and let us denote  $\langle \cdot \rangle_k$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle_k$ ) the usual Euclidean norm (resp. Euclidean inner product) in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $\rho^s$  be the evaluation operator  $\rho^s : H^{r+1}(D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by  $\rho^s(v) = (v(a))_{a \in D^s}$  and let us suppose that

$$\ker(\rho^s) \cap \mathbb{P}_r(D) = \{0\}.$$
 (1)

Given  $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{r+1})$ , where  $\tau_i \in [0, +\infty)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\tau_{r+1} \in (0, +\infty)$ , let us consider the functional defined on  $H^{r+1}(D)$  by

$$J_{\tau,s}(v) = \langle \rho^s(v-g) \rangle_k^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_m |v|_m^2$$

Note that the first term of  $J_{\tau,s}$  measures how well v approximates the values  $\{g(a)\}_{a\in D^s}$  over the set of points  $D^s$  (in the least squares sense), while the second one represents the "minimal energy condition" over the semi-norms  $|\cdot|_1, \ldots, |\cdot|_{r+1}$  weighted by the parameters  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{r+1}$ , respectively. The minimization problem we want to solve is this:

Given a  $\Delta^1$ -type triangulation  $\mathscr{T}$  of D and its associated Powell-Sabin triangulation  $\mathscr{T}_6$ , we look for an element  $\sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{T}_6} \in V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6)$  such that

$$J_{\tau,s}(\sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{F}_6}) \le J_{\tau,s}(\nu), \ \forall \nu \in V_n^r(D,\mathscr{F}_6).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Problem* (2) *has a unique solution that is also the unique solution of the following variational problem:* 

$$\begin{cases} Find \ \sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{F}_{6}} \in V_{n}^{r}(D,\mathscr{T}_{6}) \text{ such that} \\ \langle \rho^{s}(\sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{F}_{6}}), \rho^{s}(v) \rangle_{k} + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_{m}(\sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{F}_{6}}, v)_{m} = \langle Z^{s}, \rho^{s}(v) \rangle_{k}, \ \forall v \in V_{n}^{r}(D,\mathscr{T}_{6}). \end{cases}$$
(3)

*Proof.* Condition (1) allows us to be sure that  $v \mapsto [\![v]\!] = (\langle \rho^s(v) \rangle_k^2 + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_m |v|_m^2)^{1/2}$  is a norm on  $V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6)$  equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$ . As a consequence, the symmetric and continuous bilinear form  $a: V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6) \times V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6) \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $a(u,v) = \langle \rho^s(u), \rho^s(v) \rangle_k + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_m(u,v)_m$ , is  $V_n^r(D, \tau_6)$ -elliptic. Besides, the mapping  $\varphi: V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6) \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $\varphi(v) = \langle Z^s, \rho^s(v) \rangle_k$ , is a linear and continuous form. We obtain the result by applying the Lax-Milgram Lemma.

Let us denote  $N = \dim(V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6))$ . If  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_N\}$  is a basis of the finite element space  $V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6)$  whose elements have local support, and  $\sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{T}_6} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i v_i$ , then Problem (3) gives rise to the linear system

$$CX = B, (4)$$

where

$$B = \left( \left( \langle Z^s, \boldsymbol{\rho}^s(\boldsymbol{v}_i) \rangle_k \right)_{i=1}^N \right)^t, \quad X = \left( (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i)_{i=1}^N \right)^t,$$
$$C = \left( \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^s(\boldsymbol{v}_i), \boldsymbol{\rho}^s(\boldsymbol{v}_j) \rangle_k + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_m(\boldsymbol{v}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_j)_m \right)_{i,j=1}^N.$$

*Remark* 1. It can be shown that C is a symmetric, positive definite and banded matrix.

# §4. Convergence

Let  $\Delta = (r+1)(r+2)/2$  and  $A^0 = \{a_1^0, a_2^0, \dots, a_{\Delta}^0\}$  be a  $\mathbb{P}_r$ -unisolvent subset of D and let us suppose that

$$\sup_{x \in D} \min_{a \in D^s} \langle x - a \rangle_2 = \mathcal{O}(1/s), \quad s \to +\infty.$$
(5)

Then, there exist C > 0 and  $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that, for all  $s \ge s_1$ , there exists  $\{a_1^s, \ldots, a_{\Delta}^s\} \subset D^s$  verifying

$$\langle a_i^0 - a_i^s \rangle_2 \le \frac{C}{s}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, \Delta, \ \forall s \ge s_1.$$
 (6)

**Lemma 2.** Let us suppose that (5) is verified and let  $A^s = \{a_1^s, \ldots, a_{\Delta}^s\} \subset D^s$  be any subset verifying (6). Then, there exists  $s^* \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each  $s \ge s^*$ , the application  $[\cdot]^s$  defined by

$$[v]^{s} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v(a_{i}^{s})^{2} + |v|_{r+1}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

is a norm on  $H^{r+1}(D)$  uniformly equivalent with respect to s to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $A^s$  is a  $\mathbb{P}_r$ -unisolvent subset of D for all  $s \ge s_0$ . Then  $[\![\cdot]\!]^s$  is a norm on  $H^{r+1}(D)$  for all  $s \ge s_0$ . From the continuous injection of  $H^{r+1}(D)$  into  $C^0(\overline{D})$ , there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that  $[\![v]\!]^s \le C_1 ||v||$  for all  $s \ge s_0$  and  $v \in H^{r+1}(D)$ .

On the other hand, for every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v(a_i^0)^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} (v(a_i^0) - v(a_i^s))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v(a_i^s)^2,$$

and from Sobolev's Hölder Imbedding Theorem for the space  $H^{r+1}(D)$  into  $C^0(\overline{D})$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v\left(a_{i}^{0}\right)^{2} + |v|_{r+1}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \langle a_{i}^{0} - a_{i}^{s} \rangle_{2}^{2} ||v||^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v\left(a_{i}^{s}\right)^{2} + |v|_{r+1}^{2}, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{N}^{*}.$$

Since  $A^0$  is  $P_r$ -unisolvent, it follows that the application  $v \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta}v(a_i^0)^2 + |v|_{r+1}^2\right)^{1/2}$  is a norm on  $H^{r+1}(D)$  that, besides, is equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  (see Proposition I-2.2 of [2]). Hence, there exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$C_2 \|v\|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} \langle a_i^0 - a_i^s \rangle_2^2 \|v\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v (a_i^s)^2 + |v|_{r+1}^2, \, \forall s \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Moreover, by (6) we have

$$C_2 \|v\|^2 \leq \frac{\Delta C^2}{s^2} \|v\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta} v(a_i^s)^2 + |v|_{r+1}^2, \ \forall s \geq s_1.$$

Let  $s_2 \ge s_1$  be such that  $\Delta C^2/s_2^2 < C_2$ . Then,  $C_3 = C_2 - \Delta C^2/s_2^2$  satisfies

$$C_3 \|v\|^2 \le \left(C_2 - \frac{\Delta C^2}{s^2}\right) \|v\|^2 \le ([[v]]^s)^2, \ \forall s \ge s_2.$$

Consequently,  $C_3^{1/2} \|v\| \le [v]^s$  for all  $s \ge s_2$ . Thus, it suffices to take  $s^* = \max\{s_0, s_2\}$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $g \in C^{n+1}(D)$  and let  $\mathscr{H} \subset \mathbb{R}^+_*$  be a subset that admits 0 as an accumulation point. Let, for each  $h \in \mathscr{H}$ ,  $\mathscr{T}$  be a uniform  $\Delta^1$ -type triangulation of D such that h is the diameter of the triangles of  $\mathscr{T}$ . Let  $\mathscr{T}_6$  be the associated Powell-Sabin triangulation of  $\mathscr{T}$  and  $s_h \in V_n^r(D, \mathscr{T}_6)$ be the unique function that interpolates the values of g and its partial derivatives of order at most r + [r/2] at all the vertices of  $\mathscr{T}$ .

**Lemma 3.** There exists C > 0, depending only on r and g, such that, for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\max_{x\in\overline{D}}|(s_h-g)(x)| \le Ch^{n+1} \tag{7}$$

and

$$|s_h - g|_m \le C h^{n+1-m}, \ \forall m = 1, \dots, r+1.$$
 (8)

*Proof.* The result is analogous to Theorem 2 in [8], taking into account that there exists C > 0 such that  $|s_h - g|_m \le C \max_{x \in \overline{D}} \max_{|\beta| = m} |\partial^{\beta}(s_h - g)(x)|$  holds for all m = 1, ..., r + 1.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.** Let us suppose that, in addition to hypothesis (5), the following hypotheses are verified:

There exist 
$$C > 0$$
 and  $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $k(s) \le C s^2$  for all  $s \ge s_0$ ; (9)

$$\tau_{r+1} = o(s^2), \quad s \to +\infty; \tag{10}$$

$$\tau_m = o(\tau_{r+1}), \quad s \to +\infty, \quad \forall m = 1, \dots, r;$$
(11)

$$\frac{s^2h^{2s+2}}{\tau_{r+1}} = o(1), \quad s \to +\infty.$$
(12)

Let  $\sigma^h_{\tau,s}$  be the unique solution of Problem (2) for the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_6$  fixed before. Then,

$$\lim_{s\to+\infty} \left\|g-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^h_{\tau,s}\right\|=0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma_{\tau,s}^h$  is the solution of Problem(2), we have  $J_{\tau,s}(\sigma_{\tau,s}^h) \leq J_{\tau,s}(s_h)$ , that is to say:

$$\langle \rho^{s}(\sigma_{\tau,s}^{h}-g) \rangle_{k}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_{m} |\sigma_{\tau,s}^{h}|_{m}^{2} \leq \langle \rho^{s}(s_{h}-g) \rangle_{k}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{r+1} \tau_{m} |s_{h}|_{m}^{2}.$$
(13)

By (7) we know that there exists C > 0 such that  $(s_h(a) - g(a))^2 \le Ch^{2n+2}$  for all  $a \in D^s$  and, by using (8) and (9), we can be sure that there exists  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{r+1}} \langle \rho^{s}(s_{h}-g) \rangle_{k}^{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{r} \frac{\tau_{m}}{\tau_{r+1}} |s_{h}|_{m}^{2} + |s_{h}|_{r+1}^{2} 
\leq \frac{C_{1}s^{2}h^{2n+2}}{\tau_{r+1}} + \sum_{m=1}^{r} \frac{\tau_{m}}{\tau_{r+1}} \left( C_{1}h^{n+1-m} + |g|_{m} \right)^{2} + \left( C_{1}h^{n-r} + |g|_{r+1} \right)^{2}$$
(14)

for all  $s \ge s_0$ . Moreover, from (10) and (12) we obtain

$$h = o(1), \quad s \to +\infty,$$



Figure 1: Powell-Sabin triangulation of  $T_0$ .

and taking into account (11) and (12) we can be sure that there exists C > 0 and  $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that

$$\frac{C_{1s}^{2}h^{2n+2}}{\tau_{r+1}} + \sum_{m=1}^{r} \frac{\tau_{m}}{\tau_{r+1}} \left( C_{1}h^{n+1-m} + |g|_{m} \right)^{2} + \left( C_{1}h^{n-r} + |g|_{r+1} \right)^{2} \le C$$
(15)

for all  $s \ge s_1$ . By using (13), (14) and (15) we obtain

$$|\sigma^h_{\tau,s}|^2_{r+1} \leq C, \quad \langle \rho^s(\sigma^h_{\tau,s}-g) \rangle^2_k \leq C \tau_{r+1}, \ \forall \ s \geq s_1,$$

The remainder of the proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem VI-3.2 in [2] from step 2), with *s*,  $D^s$ ,  $\Delta$  and *r* instead of *d*,  $A^d$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  and m-1, respectively.

### **§5.** Numerical and graphical examples

We have considered, for different values of k, sets  $D^s$  consisting of k points arbitrarily distributed over the domain  $D = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ . We have taken, for different values of q, uniform partitions  $\{t_i = i/q\}_{i=0}^q$  of the interval [0,1] into q subintervals, from which we obtain uniform partitions of D whose elements are  $\{[t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [t_j, t_{j+1}]\}_{i,j=0}^{q-1}$ . By dividing each rectangle  $\{[t_i, t_{i+1}] \times [t_j, t_{j+1}]\}$  by the diagonal that joins the points  $(t_i, t_{j+1})$  and  $(t_{i+1}, t_j)$ , we obtain a  $\Delta^1$ -type triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$  of D formed by  $(q+1)^2$  vertices, from which we consider its associated Powell-Sabin's triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_6$ .

In the examples presented in this work, we look for  $C^2$ -surfaces, hence, the finite element vector space considered is  $V_5^2(D, \mathcal{T}_6) = S_5^{2,3,3}(D, \mathcal{T}_6)$ . To construct a basis of such space whose elements have local support we have considered the reference triangle  $T_0$  with vertices  $A_1 = (0,1), A_2 = (0,0)$  and  $A_3 = (1,0)$  and the linear functionals given by  $L_i(f) = f(A_i)$  for i = 1,2,3;  $L_i(f) = \partial_x f(A_{i-3})$  for i = 4,5,6;  $L_i(f) = \partial_y f(A_{i-6})$  for i = 7,8,9;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{\{x,2\}} f(A_{i-9})$  for i = 10,11,12;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{x,y} f(A_{i-12})$  for i = 13,14,15;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{\{y,2\}} f(A_{i-15})$  for i = 16,17,18;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{\{x,3\}} f(A_{i-18})$  for i = 19,20,21;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{x,\{y,2\}} f(A_{i-21})$  for i = 22,23,24;  $L_i(f) = \partial_{\{x,2\},y} f(A_{i-24})$  for i = 25,26,27; and  $L_i(f) = \partial_{\{y,3\}} f(A_{i-27})$  for i = 28,29,30.

To compute the solution of the linear system (4), we have considered the basis functions  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{30}\}$  over  $T_0$  that verify  $L_i(w_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . To this end, let  $\{T_1, \ldots, T_6\}$  be the microtriangles of the Powell-Sabin triangulation of  $T_0$  (see Figure 1). Over each triangle  $T_d$  every polynomial p of total degree five can be expressed as  $p(x) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=0,\ldots,5\\i+j+k=5}} c_{ijk}^d \lambda_1^i \lambda_2^j \lambda_3^k$ , where



Figure 2: Franke's function and two approximating surfaces.

Number of	-	-	-	Errors for	Errors for	Errors for
triangles	$\tau_1$	$\iota_2$	13	1000 points	2500 points	5000 points
162	10 <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$4.95 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$
162	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$10^{-8}$	$4.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.51 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.51 \cdot 10^{-3}$
162	0	0	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$1.67 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$8.12 \cdot 10^{-3}$
722	10 <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$4.98 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.68 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$
722	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10 <sup>-5</sup>	10 <sup>-8</sup>	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.51 \cdot 10^{-4}$
722	0	0	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.48 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$8.04 \cdot 10^{-3}$
722	0	0	10 <sup>-7</sup>	$1.51 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.09 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$8.02 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Table 1: Table of errors for different values of the parameters  $\tau$ , q and k.

 $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$  is the vector of barycentric coordinates of x with respect to  $T_d$ , for all  $x \in T_d$ . By applying the relations (see [4]) that must verify the *B*-coefficients of a given function f in order to be of class  $C^2$ , we determine the *B*-coefficients of the basis functions  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{30}$ .

Figure 2 shows the graphic of Franke's function (on the left) and of approximating surfaces for q = 4, k = 1500,  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 10^{-2}$ ,  $\tau_3 = 10^{-4}$  (in the middle) and q = 14, k = 1600,  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\tau_3 = 10^{-8}$  (on the right).

The error estimations have been computed by using the relative error formula

$$E = \left(\frac{\sum_{\nu=1}^{2500} (f - \sigma)(a_{\nu})^2}{\sum_{\nu=1}^{2500} f(a_{\nu})^2}\right)^{1/2},$$

where  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2500}\}$  are arbitrary points in  $[0, 1]^2$ , *f* is Franke's function and  $\sigma = \sigma_{\tau,s}^{\mathscr{T}_6}$ . Table 1 shows the errors committed for different values of  $\tau$ , *q* and *k*.

# Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Junta de Andalucía, Spain, (Research Group FQM/191) and by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain (Research Project MTM2005-01403).

# References

- ARCANGÉLI, R. Some applications of discrete D<sup>m</sup>-splines. In Mathematical methods in Computer Aided Geometric Design, T. Lyche and L. L. Schumaker, Eds. Academic Press, 1998, pp. 35–44.
- [2] ARCANGÉLI, R., LÓPEZ DE SILANES, M. C., AND TORRENS, J. J. Multidimensional *Minimizing Splines*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2004.
- [3] DUCHON, J. Interpolation des fonctions de deux variables suivant le principle de la flexion des plaques minces. *RAIRO 10*, 12 (1976), 5–12.
- [4] FARIN, G. Bézier polynomials over triangles and the construction of piecewise C<sup>r</sup>polynomials. Technical Report TR/91, Brunel University, Uxbridge, England, 1980.
- [5] GREINER, G. Surface construction based on variational principles. In *Wavelets, Images and Surface Fitting*, P. J. Laurent, A. Le Méhauté and L. L. Schumaker, Eds. Wellesley, 1994, pp. 277–286.
- [6] KOUIBIA, A., AND PASADAS, M. Approximation by discrete variational splines. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 116 (2000), 145–156.
- [7] KOUIBIA, A., AND PASADAS, M. Approximation of surfaces by fairness bicubic splines. Adv. Comput. Math. 20 (2004), 87–103.
- [8] LAGHCHIM-LAHLOU, M., AND SABLONNIÈRE, P. C<sup>r</sup>-finite elements of Powell-Sabin type on the three direction mesh. *Adv. Comput. Math.* 6 (1996), 191–206.
- [9] SABLONNIÈRE, P. Error bounds for Hermite interpolation by quadratic splines on an α-triangulation. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis* 7, 4 (1987), 495–508.

D. Barrera, M. A. Fortes, P. González and M.Pasadas
Edificio Politécnico. Campus de Fuentenueva.
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada. Universidad de Granada.
C/ Severo Ochoa, s/n
18071- Granada (Spain)
dbarrera@ugr.es, mafortes@ugr.es, prodelas@ugr.es and mpasadas@ugr.es